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1. **Summary:**

The poster contains five major parts – Introduction of project background, Data cleaning, six Machine Learning methods, Prediction evaluation, and Conclusion. The logic and structure of the poster are pretty readable and clear. It really sheds some light on my knowledge of Machine Learning.

1. **Strengths:**
2. The poster's layout is concise and explicit, and the wording is also straightforward to read.
3. In the end, they also showed their self-reflection and take-aways from this project, which proved their thinking, and I believe they can be better in the final project
4. **Weaknesses:**
5. It didn't include eight macroeconomic predictors (Please correct me if I am wrong)
6. Lack of comments in the code
7. The result deviates from the original paper to a large extend
8. Due to the word limit for a one-page poster, the rationale of the project and interpretation of the result can be further elaborated.
9. **Clarity and writing:**

As is said in the Strengths part, the layout of the poster is concise and explicit, and the wording is also straightforward to read.